

**From:** [Faulkner, Stephen](#)  
**To:** [Norfolk Vanguard](#)  
**Cc:** [rebecca.sherwood@vattenfall.com](mailto:rebecca.sherwood@vattenfall.com); [Johnson, Nick](#); [Morris, Phil](#)  
**Subject:** Norfolk County Council Response to Qu. 19.29  
**Date:** 05 March 2019 09:14:53

---

FAO Examining Authority

## Identification No. 20012439

Norfolk County Council Response to Qu.19.29 (Socio-Economic)

The County Council supports the need for a Community Benefit Fund (CBF) and has welcomed the applicant's commitment towards establishing such a fund (see paragraph 4.21 of the County Council's Local Impact Report).

The County Council does not have any relevant Development Plan policies covering such matters as its policies and statutory functions, in respect of planning, simply relate to minerals and waste planning.

Notwithstanding the above comments, the County Council would welcome a "Requirement" covering the provision of a CBF as it could be argued that such as a "Requirement" would meet / satisfy the tests set out in paragraph 55 of the NPPF(2019) in terms of:

- a. Necessary – given the scale of the proposed onshore works and those communities directly affected by the proposal both during and after construction;
- b. Relevant to planning and to the development to be permitted – appropriate mitigation and wider communities benefits will be needed to overcome any inconvenience to local communities (both individual households and businesses);

The County Council would be willing to work with the applicant to ensure that such a requirement would be enforceable, precise; and reasonable in all other respects.

However, in the event that such a "requirement" is not deemed appropriate / deliverable, the County Council would welcome an explanation / reassurance from the applicant on how such as Fund may be practically secured outside the DCO process (e.g. through some form of memorandum of understanding etc).

Regards  
Stephen

**Stephen Faulkner MRTPI**  
**Principal Planner**  
**Community and Environmental Services**  
**Telephone: 01603 222752**



Campaign Logo



--

To see our email disclaimer click here <http://www.norfolk.gov.uk/emaildisclaimer>

**From:** [Lead Local Flood Authority](#)  
**To:** [Norfolk Vanguard](#)  
**Cc:** [Faulkner, Stephen](#)  
**Subject:** FAO Examining Authority Identification No. 20012439  
**Date:** 07 March 2019 15:04:46

---

Norfolk County Council Response to Qu.16.669 (Surface Water Drainage )

The County Council has been in discussion with the applicant regarding the potential need for the County Council's standard condition/requirement covering surface water drainage matters being included in the Development Consent Order (DCO).

It has been agreed with the applicant that the outline Code of Construction Practice will be updated to reflect Norfolk County Council's requested wording for flood risk management associated with the operational onshore project substation. For clarity DCO Requirement 20 will also be updated to include specific reference to the onshore project substation operational surface water drainage plan. With these additions, mitigation to manage potential flood risk impacts associated with the operation of the onshore project substation will be adequately secured and the County Council will no longer be seeking a separate Requirement in respect of surface water drainage.

Confirmation of the County Council's position will be set out in the updated Statement of Common Ground (SoCG), which will be submitted shortly to the ExA.

Kind regards

**Lucy Perry BEng(Hons) IEng MICE MCIWEM Flood Risk Officer**

Lead Local Flood Authority (Highways Design and Development)

Tel: 0344 800 8020

Email: [llfa@norfolk.gov.uk](mailto:llfa@norfolk.gov.uk) for any pre-planning or statutory consultee enquiries

Email: [water.managment@norfolk.gov.uk](mailto:water.managment@norfolk.gov.uk) for any reports of flooding, watercourse regulation or general enquiries



Campaign Logo



--

To see our email disclaimer click here <http://www.norfolk.gov.uk/emaildisclaimer>

**From:** [Shaw, John R](#)  
**To:** ["NorfolkVanguard@pins.gsi.gov.uk"](mailto:NorfolkVanguard@pins.gsi.gov.uk)  
**Cc:** [Faulkner, Stephen](#); [Dixon, Martin](#); [White, David - ETD](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Application by:- Norfolk Vanguard Limited for an Order Granting Development Consent for the Norfolk Vanguard Offshore Wind Farm Project  
**Date:** 08 March 2019 18:36:35  
**Attachments:** [image002.png](#)  
[image003.png](#)  
[image004.png](#)  
[Response\\_EN010079\\_\(005\).pdf](#)

---

Your Ref: EN010079  
My Ref: 8/1/18/0088

Dear Sir/ Madam

**Application by:- Norfolk Vanguard Limited for an Order Granting Development Consent for the Norfolk Vanguard Offshore Wind Farm Project  
The ExA's Written Questions and Requests for Information**

I refer to your written questions and requests for information issued on 27 February 2019

Please find attached answers to the highway elements of your request from Norfolk County Council in its capacity as Local Highway Authority.

Regards

**John Shaw, Senior Engineer**

| Dept: 0344 800 8020

County Hall, Martineau Lane, Norwich. NR1 2SG



**Norfolk** County Council



--

To see our email disclaimer click here <http://www.norfolk.gov.uk/emaildisclaimer>

---

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.  
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

---

National Infrastructure Planning  
Temple Quay House  
2 The Square  
Temple Quay  
Bristol  
BS1 6PN

Your Ref: EN010079  
Date: 8 March 2019

My Ref: 8/1/18/0088  
Tel No.: 01603 223231  
Email: john.r.shaw@norfolk.gov.uk

Dear Sir/ Madam

**Application by:- Norfolk Vanguard Limited for an Order Granting Development Consent for the Norfolk Vanguard Offshore Wind Farm Project  
The ExA's Written Questions and Requests for Information**

I refer to your second round of written questions and requests for additional information issued on the 27 February 2019.

Please find below answers to the highway elements of your request from Norfolk County Council (NCC) in its capacity as Local Highway Authority (LHA).

**Qu 10.18 (page 12)**

**Update on progress with regard to mitigation measures proposed for the Street at Oulton and also the B1145 at Cawston.**

The applicants agent has indicated the developer is willing to consider mitigation measures at both of these locations, however as yet we do not have a firm commitment and have not been provided with any plans/documents to show the intended nature of the mitigation measures.

The County Council maintains its position that without appropriate mitigation measures this development will have a significant adverse impact upon the satisfactory functioning of local highway network and also highway safety.

**Qu 11.33 (page 13) Cumulative impacts**

The level of mitigation in highway terms will be the same regardless of whether or not Vanguard proceeds in isolation.

However, it should be noted that part of the proposed highway improvement scheme involves the re-grading of a hump in the The Street. This re-grading has not been requested by the LHA but rather is being provided to overcome a residential amenity issue. Accordingly the LHA makes no comment upon that particular aspect of the scheme and leaves this for the District Council to advise upon.

**Qu 11.34 (page 13) Trenchless Crossing of the B1149**

The LHA maintains its position that trenchless crossing of the B1149 is necessary for the reasons we have previously provided.

**Qu 11.36 (page 13) Trenchless Crossing of the A1067**

Where a cable duct needs to cross the width of the carriageway, trenchless crossing methods offer particular benefits over open trench excavation. These include minimising disruption to the operation of the network and reduced levels of traffic management.

Following the opening of the NDR, the A1067 is now a very heavily trafficked road and is recorded within the County Councils route hierarchy as a principal route which links primary destinations. Given the importance of the route within the hierarchy and the levels of traffic that it now carries, open cut trenches to the A1067 at this point will lead to unacceptable traffic delays. This is particularly the case given the level of traffic mitigation that will be required.

In addition to the above, given these higher traffic levels, there is also an issue of long term maintenance to the reinstatement of an open cut trench on a road of this nature.

**Qu 18.33 (page 24) Management of public rights of way**

We agree that NCC is the relevant local authority

**Qu 20.127 (page 28) Amendments to the DCO**

The view of the LHA is the list within R16 needs to be expanded to bring it in line with the Outline Traffic Management Plan and to capture outstanding commitments. Accordingly, we recommend an additional item be added to the list under R16(17) as follows: -

(t) roads so indicated within the traffic management plan.

If I can be of further assistance then please let me know.

Yours sincerely



Senior Engineer - Highways Development Manager  
for Executive Director for Community and Environmental Services